UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,060	12/16/2003	Thomas J. Dinger	LOT920030029US1 (014)	5227
	7590 08/06/200 RIGUEZ, GREENBER	EXAMINER		
STEVEN M. GREENBERG			HU, KANG	
950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE SUITE 3020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOCA RATON	I, FL 33487	3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		08/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			37,060	DINGER ET AL.	DINGER ET AL.			
			niner	Art Unit				
		KANG	3 HU	3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF THE INSIDE O	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 May 200</i>) <i>8</i>					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 <i>May</i> 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>′</i> —		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminor						
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December</u>		☑ accented or h)	Objected to by the Evar	miner			
10/23	Applicant may not request that any obje		-	-	minor.			
		-		, ,	`ER 1 121/d\			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Examine	r. rvoto trio dilacin		10 102.			
	<u>-</u>			0.440() () ()				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) _l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		h					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					1.01			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		n received in this Nationa	i Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _					

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/27/2008 has been entered.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 8/31/2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: applicant has amended the abstract to recite "the learning folders can be separate from an existing course catalog of learning objects". The examiner fails to see the support in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3714

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Re claims 1, 9 and 17 each recite claim language "the learning folder comprising a configuration to aggregate access to existing learning objects from a separate course catalog" (claims 1 and 9) and "each of the learning folders aggregating selected ones of the learning objects separate and apart from an existing course catalog of learning objects". The examiner fails to find any support in the specification for a "separate course catalog" and "learning objects separate and apart from an existing course catalog of learning objects." The applicant is required to point out the support for such features or cancel such features from the claim language. The dependent claims are also rejected for their dependency upon claims 1, 9 and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alcorn et al. (US 6,988,138 B1).

Art Unit: 3714

Alcorn teaches the claim as best understood by the examiner, a method of administering learning objects within a learning management system, comprising the step of: establishing within a computing system a learning folder for a particular learner in the learning management system (LMS) which is separate from an existing course catalog of learning objects (col 5, lines 15-25; system for providing to a community of users access to online courses, including a server computer in communication with user computers over a network, wherein the server computer has means for creating course user accounts from a file of existing user accounts associated with an external computer), the learning folder comprising a configuration to aggregate access to existing learning objects from a separate course catalog (col 2, lines 40-65; aggregation of information contained in existing networks are provided from the institution's perspective... a student may have to log-on to multiple networks in order to access different items of data... existing system, in short, aggregate course information in an institution-centric manner); adding within the computing system one or more of the learning objects to the learning folder, and, initially limiting access to the learning folder within the computing system to the particular learner (col 4, lines 19-52; student user is provided with an access level to enable reading of course files associated with a course. The student user is also provided with an access level to enable modification of some of the files associated with a course, also, the user may be provided with an access level to enable creation of a student file associated with a file for which the student user is able to read; col 17, line 40 - col 22, line 19).

Alcorn further teaches:

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 2, establishing a learning link for the learning folder, which provides access to the learning folder for one or more additional learners (col 4, line 52 - col 5, line 15).

Re claim 3 and 4, modifying the learning folder by adding one or more additional learning objects to the learning folder and modifying the learning folder by removing one or more learning objects from the learning folder (col 6, lines 10-22; col 17, line 40 – col 18, line 37).

Re claim 5 and 6, modifying the learning link by adding access for one or more learners, modifying the learning link by removing access for one or more learners (col 21, line 65- col 22, line 18).

Re claim 7 and 8, removing a learning folder and learning link from the learning management system (col 17, line 40 - col 18, line 37).

Re claims 9-19, the features of the machine readable storage and learning management system have been discussed above in claims 1-8 and will not be repeated herein.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3714

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Bagley et al. (US 2005/0102322 A1) teaches of a mechanism that provides

automation in creation of learning objects from knowledge and learning content in various

format.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to KANG HU whose telephone number is (571)270-1344. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/Kang Hu/

Examiner, Art Unit 3714